

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_109660
Title of the Manuscript:	Facial Analysis of the Igbo Ethnic Group of Nigeria for the Evaluation of Sexual Dimorphism
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Comment 1. This manuscript could be benefited for the development of anthropometric data representing the Nigerian population, particularly, for the design of appropriate personal protective equipment with regards to gender.</p> <p>Comment 2. Yes. It is ok.</p> <p>Comment 3. Elaborate the results more precisely in the conclusion of the manuscript's abstract.</p> <p>Comment 4. The gap and logic of the study were not clearly presented. Moreover, the strengths and weaknesses of the previous studies were not clearly mentioned.</p> <p>Comment 5. It is required to present the characteristics of study subjects (gender proportion, mean± SD age, BMI, or occupation, etc.).</p> <p>Comment 6. It could be more attractive and understandable to present some real pictures of subjects during the measurement.</p> <p>Comment 7. Why didn't the authors perform the measurements using both reliable digital calipers and a digital camera?</p> <p>Comment 8. It is recommended that the abbreviations in Table 2 be completely presented in the footnote.</p> <p>Comment 8. The results, discussion, and conclusion are very poorly written without any insightful views by the authors themselves. It is highly expected that the authors should come out with their criticisms of the previous and the present study findings. The results should be discussed and interpreted more comprehensively.</p> <p>Comment 9. The study limitations and future work were not presented.</p> <p>Comment 10. Yes. It should be completely revised.</p> <p>Comment 11. Check the journal's guidelines for citation of references in the manuscript.</p>	Noted
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Comment 12. The topic seems practical, but some punctuation principles have not been used correctly. Standard punctuation principles should be used throughout the manuscript. It is recommended that the article be edited by a native speaker to improve it.</p> <p>Comment 13. The language of the manuscript must be polished to improve its quality and readability. There are a considerable number of grammatical errors in the manuscript. As recommended earlier, the article should be edited by a native speaker to improve the article.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Comment 14. The topic of the manuscript is useful and practical in relation to the consideration of females' dimensions, their limitations, and challenges during production, the designing process, etc., and could then enhance the knowledge and perception of the readers or operators.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	